

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/524,824	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KURIHASHI, KATSUAKI
		<b>Examiner</b> Philip R. Wiest	<b>Art Unit</b> 3761

**All Participants:**

(1) Philip R. Wiest.

(2) Patrick Buechner.

**Date of Interview:** 16 June 2010

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

n/a

**Claims discussed:**

19

**Prior art documents discussed:**

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed claim 19, which has been determined patentable over the prior art. Specifically, examiner suggested claim language that more clearly defines the thread.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/LRD/  
/Philip R Wiest/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3761

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)